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## 1: THE REAL NUMBERS

Once upon a time, numbers formed a line:


We call these the real numbers.

## 2: THE COMPLEX NUMBERS

In 1545, Cardano published a book that showed how to solve cubic equations with the help of an imaginary number $i$ with a shocking property:

$$
i^{2}=-1
$$

'Complex numbers' like $a+b i$ gradually caught on, but people kept wondering:

Does the number $i$ 'really exist'?
If so, what is it?

In 1806, Argand realized you can draw complex numbers as points in the plane:


Multiplying by $a+b i$ simply amounts to rotating and expanding/shrinking the plane to make the number 1 go to the number $a+b i$.

So: you can divide by any nonzero complex number just by
undoing the rotation and dilation it causes!

In 1835, William Rowan Hamilton realized you could treat complex numbers as pairs of real numbers:

$$
a+b i=(a, b)
$$

Since real numbers work so well in 1d geometry, and complex numbers work so well in 2d geometry, Hamilton tried to invent 'triplets' for 3d geometry!

$$
a+b i+c j=(a, b, c)
$$

His quest built to its climax in October 1843:

Every morning in the early part of the above-cited month, on my coming down to breakfast, your (then) little brother William Edwin, and yourself, used to ask me: "Well, Papa, can you multiply triplets?" Whereto I was always obliged to reply, with a sad shake of the head: "No, I can only add and subtract them."

Hamilton seems to have wanted a 3-dimensional 'normed division algebra':

A normed division algebra is a finite-dimensional real vector space $A$ with a product $A \times A \rightarrow A$, identity $1 \in A$ and norm $|\mid: A \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ such that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 a=a=a 1 \\
a(b+c)=a b+a c \quad(b+c) a=b a+c a \\
(\alpha a) b=\alpha(a b)=a(\alpha b) \quad(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}) \\
|a+b| \leq|a|+|b| \\
|a|=0 \Longleftrightarrow a=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
|a b|=|a||b|
$$

## Hamilton didn't know it, but:

Theorem: A normed division algebra must have dimension $1,2,4$, or 8 .

## 4: THE QUATERNIONS

On October 16th, 1843, walking with his wife along the Royal Canal in Dublin, Hamilton suddenly found a 4-dimensional normed division algebra he later called
the quaternions:

That is to say, I then and there felt the galvanic circuit of thought close; and the sparks which fell from it were the fundamental equations between $i, j, k$; exactly such as I have used them ever since:

$$
i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=i j k=-1
$$

And in a famous act of mathematical vandalism, he carved these equations into the stone of the Brougham Bridge:


Hamilton spent the rest of his life working on quaternions. They neatly combine scalars and vectors:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=\underbrace{a_{0}}_{\text {scalar part }}+\underbrace{a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k}_{\text {vector part, } \vec{a}} \\
|a|=\sqrt{a_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
i j=k=-j i \\
\\
i^{2}=j^{2}=k^{2}=-1
\end{gathered}
$$

we can show

$$
a b=\left(a_{0} b_{0}-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}\right)+\left(a_{0} \vec{b}+b_{0} \vec{a}+\vec{a} \times \vec{b}\right)
$$

But the dot product and cross product of vectors were only isolated later, by Gibbs. Before 1901, quaternions reigned supreme!

## 8: THE OCTONIONS

The day after his fateful walk, Hamilton sent his college friend John T. Graves an 8-page letter describing the quaternions. On October 26th Graves replied, complimenting Hamilton on his boldness, but adding:

There is still something in the system which gravels me. I have not yet any clear views as to the extent to which we are at liberty arbitrarily to create imaginaries, and to endow them with supernatural properties.

If with your alchemy you can make three pounds of gold, why should you stop there?

On the day after Christmas, Graves sent Hamilton a letter about an 8-dimensional normed division algebra he called the octaves - now known as the octonions.

In January 1844 he sent Hamilton 3 more letters. He tried to construct a 16-dimensional normed division algebra, but "met with an unexpected hitch" and came to doubt this was possible.

The quaternions are noncommutative:

$$
a b \neq b a
$$

In June, Hamilton noted that the octonions are also nonassociative:

$$
(a b) c \neq a(b c)
$$

Hamilton offered to publicize Graves' work, but never got around to it. He was too distracted by work on the quaternions.

In 1845, the octonions were rediscovered by Arthur Cayley. So, some people call them Cayley numbers.

But, what are the octonions?
And why do they make the number 8 so special?

To multiply quaternions, you just need to remember:

- 1 is the multiplicative identity,
$\bullet i, j$, and $k$ are square roots of -1 , and this picture:


When we multiply two guys following the arrows we get the next one: for example, $j k=i$. But when we multiply going against the arrows we get minus the next one: $k j=-i$.

To multiply octonions, you just need to remember:

- 1 is the multiplicative identity
$-e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}$ are square roots of -1 and this picture of the Fano plane:


Points in the Fano plane correspond to lines through the origin in this cube:


Lines in the Fano plane correspond to planes through the origin in this cube.

## VECTORS VERSUS SPINORS

Force-carrying particles (like photons) are described by vectors, but articles of matter (like electrons) are described by spinors.

There's a way to 'multiply' a spinor and a vector and get a spinor:


When the space of spinors and the space of vectors have the same dimension, this multiplication gives a normed division algebra!

| $n$ | vectors | spinors | normed division algebra? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbb{R}$ | $\mathbb{R}$ | YES: REAL NUMBERS |
| 2 | $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ | YES: COMPLEX NUMBERS |
| 3 | $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ | NO |
| 4 | $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ | YES: QUATERNIONS |
| 5 | $\mathbb{R}^{5}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ | NO |
| 6 | $\mathbb{R}^{6}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ | NO |
| 7 | $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ | NO |
| 8 | $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ | $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ | YES: OCTONIONS |

Bott periodicity: spinors in dimension 8 more have dimension 16 times as big.

So, we only get 4 normed division algebras.

## SUPERSTRINGS

In string theory, different ways a string can vibrate correspond to different particles.

Strings trace out 2-dimensional surfaces in spacetime. So, a string in ( $n+2$ )-dimensional spacetime can vibrate in $n$ directions perpendicular to this surface.
'Supersymmetric' strings are possible when the space of $n$-dimensional vectors has the same dimension as the space of spinors. There are only four options:

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
n=1 \Longrightarrow n+2=3 \quad & \Longrightarrow \text { (real numbers) } \\
n=2 \Longrightarrow n+2=4 \quad & \text { (complex numbers) } \\
n=4 \Longrightarrow n+2=6 & \text { (quaternions) } \\
n=8 \Longrightarrow n+2=10 & \text { (octonions) }
\end{array}
$$

For reasons I don't fully understand, only $n=8$ gives a well-behaved superstring theory when we take quantum mechanics into account.

So: if superstring theory is right, spacetime is 10-dimensional, and the vibrations of the strings that make up all forces and particles are described by octonions!

## SPHERE PACKING

The two most symmetrical ways to pack pennies are a square lattice, called $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ :

...and a hexagonal lattice, called $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ :


The $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ packing is denser the densest possible in 2d.

# The centers of pennies in the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ lattice are also special complex numbers called Gaussian integers: 



They're closed under addition and multiplication!

The centers of pennies in the $\mathbf{A}_{2}$ lattice are special complex numbers called Eisenstein integers:


They're also closed under addition and multiplication, since $\omega^{3}=1$.

In 3 dimensions, we can pack spheres in a cubical lattice called $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$, or a denser $A_{3}$ lattice made by stacking hexagonal lattices:


The $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ lattice is the densest possible in 3 d .

## $\mathbb{Z}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ lattices exist in any dimension, but the densities drop:

| $n$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density | $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| 2 | $79 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 3 | $52 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| 4 | $31 \%$ | $55 \%$ |

However, in 4d there's a surprise!

The spaces between spheres in the $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ lattice are big enough to slip another copy of this lattice in the gaps, thus doubling the density!

We have already have spheres centered at points with integer coordinates:

$$
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}\right)
$$

Each center has distance 1 from its nearest neighbors.
New spheres centered at points

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(n_{1}+1 / 2, n_{2}+1 / 2, n_{3}+1 / 2, n_{4}+1 / 2\right) \\
\text { will be just as far away, since }
\end{gathered}
$$

$\sqrt{(1 / 2)^{2}+(1 / 2)^{2}+(1 / 2)^{2}+(1 / 2)^{2}}=1$

We call this new lattice the $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ lattice: it's the densest possible in 4 d .

Points in the $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ lattice are also special quaternions called Hurwitz integers:

$$
a+b i+c j+d k
$$

where $a, b, c, d$ are either all integers or all integers plus $1 / 2$.
They're closed under addition and multiplication!

Each Hurwitz integer has 24 nearest neighbors, so each sphere in the $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ lattice touches 24 others.

The 24 nearest neighbors of 0 are...

## 8 like this...




## and 16 like this...



$$
\pm \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{i}{2} \pm \frac{j}{2} \pm \frac{k}{2}
$$

...for a total of 24 :

$\mathrm{D}_{n}$ lattices exist in any dimension $\geq 4$, but the densities drop:

| $n$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ density | $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density | $\mathrm{D}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| 2 | $79 \%$ | $91 \%$ |  |
| 3 | $52 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |
| 4 | $31 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| 5 | $16 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| 6 | $8 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| 7 | $4 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 8 | $2 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

However, in 8 dimensions there's another surprise!

There's enough space between spheres in the $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ lattice to slip another copy in the gaps!
We get a lattice called the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice. It's the densest possible in 8 dimensions.

Points in the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice are also special octonions called Cayley integers.
They're closed under addition and multiplication!
Each Cayley integer has 240 nearest neighbors, so each sphere in the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice touches 240 others.


The $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice also seems to be important in string theory!
But this is the beginning of another, longer,
stranger, still unfinished story...

For more, visit http://tinyurl.com/baez-york-8

## APPENDIX: LATTICES

The densest lattices in $\leq 8$ dimensions are among these:

| $n$ | $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ density | $\mathrm{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density | $\mathrm{D}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density | $\mathrm{E}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ density |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| 2 | $79 \%$ | $91 \%$ |  |  |
| 3 | $52 \%$ | $74 \%$ |  |  |
| 4 | $31 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $62 \%$ |  |
| 5 | $16 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $47 \%$ |  |
| 6 | $8 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| 7 | $4 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 8 | $2 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $25 \%$ |

The lattices $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ are constructed as lower-dimensional 'slices' of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$.

## APPENDIX: QUATERNIONS, THE DODECAHEDRON, AND E8

We call a quaternion $q$ with $|q|=1$ a unit quaternion. These form a group under multiplication.

Any unit quaternion $q$ gives a rotation. As we've seen, a quaternion whose scalar part is zero is the same as a vector:

$$
a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k=\vec{a}
$$

To rotate this vector, we just 'conjugate' it by $q$ :

$$
q \vec{a} q^{-1}
$$

We get all rotations this way. Both $q$ and $-q$ give the same rotation, so the unit quaternions form the 'double cover' of the $3 d$ rotation group. This double cover is usually called SU(2).

There are 5 ways to inscribe a cube in the dodecahedron:


Rotational symmetries of the dodecahedron give all even permutations of these 5 cubes, so these symmetries form what is called the 'alternating group' $\mathrm{A}_{5}$, with

$$
5!/ 2=60
$$

elements.

Since the group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the double cover of the 3 d rotation group, there are

$$
2 \times 60=120
$$

unit quaternions that give rotational symmetries of the dodecahedron. These form a group usually called the binary icosahedral group, since the regular icosahedron has the same symmetries as the regular dodecahedron.

A wonderful fact: elements of the binary icosahedral group are precisely the unit quaternions

$$
q=q_{0} 1+q_{1} i+q_{2} j+q_{3} k
$$

where $q_{0}, q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ lie in the 'golden field'. The golden field consists of real numbers

$$
x+\sqrt{5} y
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are rational.

This gives another way to construct the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice. A finite linear combination of the 120 unit quaternions described above is called an icosian. It takes 8 rational numbers to describe an icosian. But, not every 8 -tuple of rational numbers gives an icosian. Those which do form a copy of the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice!

To get this to work, we need to put the right norm on the icosians. First there is usual quaternionic norm, with

$$
\left|a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k\right|^{2}=a_{0}^{2}+a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+a_{3}^{2}
$$

But for an icosian, this is always of the form $x+\sqrt{5} y$ for some rational $x$ and $y$. We can define another norm on the icosians by setting

$$
\left|a_{0}+a_{1} i+a_{2} j+a_{3} k\right|^{2}=x+y
$$

With this norm, the icosians form a copy of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ lattice.

There's also another relation between the dodecahedron and $\mathrm{E}_{8}$, called the McKay correspondence. This requires some more advanced math to understand, so I'll quickly sketch it and then quit.

The binary icosahedral group has 9 irreducible representations, one of them being the obvious representation on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ coming from the 2 d rep of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Draw a dot for each rep $R_{i}$; tensor each $R_{i}$ with the rep on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and write the result as a direct sum of reps $\boldsymbol{R}_{j}$; then draw a line connecting the dot for $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ to the dot for each $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ that shows up in this sum.

You get this picture:


Voilà! This is the extended $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ Dynkin diagram! From this, we can recover $\mathrm{E}_{8}$.
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